

GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS

Salus Journal

The **Editorial Board** will verify whether the manuscript complies with the Instructions to the Authors contained in the journal's General Policies.

The **Editorial Board** will keep confidentiality of authors and reviewers, and will appoint at least two expert reviewers for assessing the manuscript.

The **Editorial Board** will establish the guidelines for assessing journal articles. Thus, the appointed reviewers should take into account the following aspects:

- Importance of the topic studied.
- Originality.
- Methodological approach or design.
- Accurate and clearly presented results.
- Pertinent discussion.
- Conclusions in agreement with the purpose of the research.
- Proper organization.
- Presentation guidelines in accordance with the journal's General Policies
- Title stating the purpose of the study.
- Length of the article.
- Current, pertinent bibliographic references using Vancouver guidelines for citations.

The reviewer recommendations on the paper may be one of the following: 1) Publication with no changes, 2) Publication with major changes, 3) Publication with minor changes, 4) Publication not recommended.

DUTIES OF REVIEWERS

- To be acquainted with the Editorial Policies, and publication guidelines and requirements of the journal.
- To thoroughly review the content and form of all manuscripts submitted for assessment.
- To suggest needed changes or remarks, based on his/her professional expertise, and in agreement with the journal's General Policies, and to forward them to the Editorial Board in a written communication, attaching the assessment sheet of the paper.
- To ensure that manuscripts submitted for assessment comply with ethical norms.
- To comply with the time period established by the journal for assessing papers (one month from the date of reception).
- To notify promptly of any possible delays in the assessment of papers.
- To keep confidentiality.

INDIZACIONES DE *Salus*

